

# ECMO.... In the Emergency Department



John C. Greenwood, MD  
Department of Emergency Medicine  
University of Maryland School of Medicine  
Baltimore, MD

## Objectives

**At the end of this presentation, the participant will be able to:**

- Understand the differences between Venovenous (VV) and Venoarterial (VA) Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO)
- Identify patients who may benefit from VA-ECMO for cardiogenic shock
- Set up an effective mechanism for ECMO initiation in the ED

**“Nobody deserves to die in the hospital without a trial of ECMO”**

**– Dr. Daniel Herr, MD**

## **Out-of-hospital Cardiac Arrest Review**

- Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest outcomes remain poor despite advancements in ACLS protocols and with conventional CPR (C-CPR)
  - ROSC < 40%
  - Survival to discharge 7-11 %<sup>1</sup>
  - Favorable neurological outcome 3-5%<sup>2</sup>
- Improved outcomes with
  - Therapeutic hypothermia post-ROSC<sup>3,4</sup>
  - Rapid defibrillation<sup>5</sup>
  - Cardiocerebral resuscitation<sup>6,7,8</sup>
  - Rapid PCI<sup>9</sup>
  - ECLS/ECMO Assisted CPR (E-CPR) (?)

## **ECMO Overview**

- Venovenous ECMO (VV ECMO)
  - Primary goal: Support during reversible *respiratory* failure
  - Indications (ARDS, severe PNA, ILD, etc.)<sup>10</sup>
    - Refractory hypoxemia - P:F ratio < 80 for over 6 hrs
    - Refractory hypercapnia with acidemia – pH < 7.15 – 7.20
    - Excessively high P<sub>PLAT</sub> > 35-45 cm H<sub>2</sub>O
  - Cannulation
    - Bicaval, dual-lumen Avelon™ catheter through the right internal jugular vein (23 or 27 French)
    - Dual insertion through the right IJ and femoral vein
- Venoarterial ECMO (VA ECMO)
  - Primary goal: Support during reversible *cardiac* failure/shock (CS)
    - Bridge to recovery, transplantation, destination therapy, *or decision*
  - Indications
    - Refractory cardiogenic shock<sup>11,12</sup>
      - Hypotension (SBP < 80-90; MAP > 30 mmHg from baseline)
      - End-organ dysfunction
      - Cardiac index < 1.8 - 2.2 L/min/m<sup>2</sup>
      - PCWP > 18 mmHg
    - AHA: No specific hemodynamic recommendations<sup>13</sup>

- ESC/EATS: No specific hemodynamic recommendations <sup>12</sup>
- ELSO: No specific hemodynamic recommendations
- Cannulation
  - Central cannulation to ascending aorta performed in the OR
  - Peripheral cannulation in femoral vessels performed at the bedside
    - Catheter size: 17 Fr arterial, 21 Fr venous
    - Arterial cannula rests in distal aorta
    - Provides retrograde flow
- Improved physiology in cardiogenic shock
  - Decreased pulmonary artery pressure
  - Increased end organ perfusion
  - Increased PaO<sub>2</sub> over VV ECMO
- ECMO flow rates <sup>14</sup>
  - Goal: Arterial pulse pressure  $\geq$  10 mmHg
  - Begin with 1.5 – 2L/min, titrate to 3-6L/min
  - May require vasopressor/inotropic support, goal MAP > 65
- Additional considerations
  - Therapeutic hypothermia rapidly initiated through heat exchanger
    - Target core body temp: 32-34°C
  - Anticoagulation required
    - Unfractionated heparinization: body weight adjusted
  - Mechanical Ventilation
    - Lung protective ventilation (6-8cc/kg TV)
  - Monitor for distal limb ischemia

### **Extracorporeal Life Support assisted CPR (E-CPR)**

- Indications
  - Down time is “brief”
  - Condition is reversible – coronary occlusion, drug induced, refractory arrhythmias
  - Condition is amenable to transplantation or revascularization
- In-hospital cardiac arrest
  - Chung et. al (2012): In-patients with acute CS treated with ECMO
    - Study: Prospective observational study of 134 patients
      - STEMI: 37 (27.6%)
      - Non-STEMI: 16 (11.9%)
    - Protocol initiated if C-CPR failed to ROSC after 30 minutes, contacted after 15 minutes
    - On pump within 25-30 min from cardiac arrest
    - **STEMI group outcomes significantly better**
  - Shin et. al (2011): In-patients with a cardiac cause of arrest
    - Improved survival to discharge
    - Improved 6-month survival with minimal neurologic impairment
    - When CPR > 30 min: E-CPR survival (19.2%) > C-CPR (1.3%)

- Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest
  - Inter-hospital variation in availability and protocol
  - Can be performed in the ED - Bellezzo et. al (2012)
    - Case series
      - Staged approach to ECLS initiation
      - 18 patients –8 patients transitioned to ECLS
    - Inclusion
      - Persistent arrest despite standard efforts
      - CS (SBP < 70 mmHg) refractory to medical treatment
    - Exclusion criteria
      - Asystole
      - Prolonged downtime without CPR (> 10 min)
      - Prolonged transport time (>10 min)
      - Prolonged arrest time (>10 min)
      - Suspicion of shock due to sepsis or hemorrhage
      - Pre-existing neurological disease prior to arrest
    - Outcomes
      - Survival to discharge, full neuro recovery: 5 (63%)
      - Non-survivors: mean ECLS time: 48.4 hrs
  - Kagawa et. al (2012)
    - Study: Retrospective review
    - Inclusion: Age 18 – 74, +/- Vfib, CPR initiated < 15 min from collapse, arrest, No ROSC within 20 min of C-CPR
      - 81 ACS patients
        - 61 received intra-arrest PCI
        - 20 did not receive PCI
    - Cardiac arrest followed by ECMO, PCI, and/or hypothermia
      - 30-day survival: 29%
      - Favorable neurologic outcome: 24%
    - Intra-arrest PCI, time interval from collapse to pump, and in hospital cardiac arrest were associated with 30-day survival
- What does this mean to you?
  - Skeptics (Lyon RM, 2012)
    - ECLS cost prohibits wide-spread adoption
    - Limited data for utilization of VA ECMO in cardiac arrest, and on which patients will benefit
  - Proponents
    - It is possible, data appears favorable for salvage therapy
    - Goal: Bridge to revascularization or further intervention
    - Algorithmic and team based result required
    - Good patient selection leads to improved outcomes

## Selected References

1. McNally B, Robb R, Mehta M, et al. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest surveillance --- Cardiac Arrest Registry to Enhance Survival (CARES), United States, October 1, 2005--December 31, 2010. Morbidity and mortality weekly report Surveillance summaries 2011;60:1-19.
2. Kudenchuk PJ, Redshaw JD, Stubbs BA, et al. Impact of changes in resuscitation practice on survival and neurological outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest resulting from nonshockable arrhythmias. *Circulation* 2012;125:1787-94.
3. Belliard G, Catez E, Charron C, et al. Efficacy of therapeutic hypothermia after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest due to ventricular fibrillation. *Resuscitation* 2007;75:252-9.
4. Testori C, Sterz F, Holzer M, et al. The beneficial effect of mild therapeutic hypothermia depends on the time of complete circulatory standstill in patients with cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation* 2012;83:596-601.
5. Sasson C, Rogers MA, Dahl J, Kellermann AL. Predictors of survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circulation Cardiovascular quality and outcomes* 2010;3:63-81.
6. Ewy GA. Cardiocerebral resuscitation: the new cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Circulation* 2005;111:2134-42.
7. Yang CL, Wen J, Li YP, Shi YK. Cardiocerebral resuscitation vs cardiopulmonary resuscitation for cardiac arrest: a systematic review. *The American journal of emergency medicine* 2012;30:784-93.
8. Kellum MJ, Kennedy KW, Barney R, et al. Cardiocerebral resuscitation improves neurologically intact survival of patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Annals of emergency medicine* 2008;52:244-52.
9. Dumas F, Cariou A, Manzo-Silberman S, et al. Immediate percutaneous coronary intervention is associated with better survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: insights from the PROCAT (Parisian Region Out of hospital Cardiac Arrest) registry. *Circulation Cardiovascular interventions* 2010;3:200-7.
10. Brodie D, Bacchetta M. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for ARDS in adults. *The New England journal of medicine* 2011;365:1905-14.
11. Reynolds HR, Hochman JS. Cardiogenic shock: current concepts and improving outcomes. *Circulation* 2008;117:686-97.
12. Task Force on Myocardial Revascularization of the European Society of C, the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic S, European Association for Percutaneous Cardiovascular I, et al. Guidelines on myocardial revascularization. *European heart journal* 2010;31:2501-55.
13. Peura JL, Colvin-Adams M, Francis GS, et al. Recommendations for the Use of Mechanical Circulatory Support: Device Strategies and Patient Selection: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2012;126:2648-67.
14. Chauhan S, Subin S. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, an anesthesiologist's perspective: physiology and principles. Part 1. *Annals of cardiac anaesthesia* 2011;14:218-29.
15. Chung SY, Sheu JJ, Lin YJ, et al. Outcome of patients with profound cardiogenic shock after cardiopulmonary resuscitation and prompt extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support. A single-center observational study. *Circulation journal : official journal of the Japanese Circulation Society* 2012;76:1385-92.
16. Shin TG, Choi JH, Jo IJ, et al. Extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation in patients with inhospital cardiac arrest: A comparison with conventional cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Critical care medicine* 2011;39:1-7.
17. Bellezzo JM, Shinar Z, Davis DP, et al. Emergency physician-initiated extracorporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Resuscitation* 2012;83:966-70.
18. Kagawa E, Dote K, Kato M, et al. Should we emergently revascularize occluded coronaries for cardiac arrest?: rapid-response extracorporeal membrane oxygenation and intra-arrest percutaneous coronary intervention. *Circulation* 2012;126:1605-13.
19. Lyon RM. Extra-corporeal cardiopulmonary resuscitation - Miracle cure or expensive futility? *Resuscitation* 2012;83:1311-2.